

<b>A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY</b> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">APR 16 2007</div> ATTEST FOR THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION	<b>U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA</b> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">FILED APR 16 2007</div> DOCKET NO. <b>07-1520</b> <b>G. WHYTE</b> CLERK	JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION <b>MAR 29 2007</b> FILED CLERK'S OFFICE
---	---	--

**BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE VIOXX MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-92)**

On February 16, 2005, the Panel transferred 138 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 360 F.Supp.2d 1352 (J.P.M.L. 2005). Since that time, 5,991 additional actions have been transferred to the Eastern District of Louisiana. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Eldon E. Fallon.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Eastern District of Louisiana and assigned to Judge Fallon.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Eastern District of Louisiana for the reasons stated in the order of February 16, 2005, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Eldon E. Fallon.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted. <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">APR 16 2007</div> CLERK'S OFFICE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION	<b>CLERK'S OFFICE A TRUE COPY</b> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">APR 23 2007</div> Deputy Clerk, U.S. District Court Eastern District of Louisiana New Orleans, LA
--	--

Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

Fee	_____
Process	_____
X Dkt'd	<b>BMD</b>
CtRmDep	_____
Doc. No.	<b>10801</b>

07-1520 SC

**SCHEDULE CTO-92 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**  
**DOCKET NO. 1657**  
**IN RE VIOXX MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY**  
**LITIGATION**

<u>DIST. DIV. C.A. #</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>	<u>EDLA SEC. L/3</u>
<b>CALIFORNIA NORTHERN</b>		
CAN 3 07-1494	Darlene C. Island v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.	07-1993
CAN 3 07-1520	Howard Reece v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.	07-1994
<b>FLORIDA MIDDLE</b>		
FLM 2 07-153	Glenda Madden, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.	07-1995
FLM 3 07-166	Sally Short v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.	07-1996
FLM 6 07-323	Edward Malane v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.	07-1997
<b>MARYLAND</b>		
MD 8 07-605	Patricia Wilson v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-1998
<b>MAINE</b>		
ME 2 07-43	Patricia J. Oakes, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-1999
<b>MINNESOTA</b>		
MN 0 07-1456	Rosemary Landowski, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-2000
MN 0 07-1478	Eugene Francis, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-2001
MN 0 07-1479	Stuart Evenson, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-2002
MN 0 07-1480	Darlynn Seely, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-2003
MN 0 07-1481	Dean Frantsen, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-2004
MN 0 07-1482	Sharon Smith v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-2005
MN 0 07-1483	Philip Wohlhuter, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-2006
<b>MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN</b>		
MSN 3 07-34	Vickie Roberts, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.	07-2007
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>		
NJ 3 07-1243	Charles Wade v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-2008
NJ 3 07-1244	Joyce Smith v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-2009
NJ 3 07-1245	Wanda Scarborough, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-2010
NJ 3 07-1246	Doris Rayfield v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-2011
NJ 3 07-1248	Yvonne Mitchell v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-2012
NJ 3 07-1249	Kathleen Gross, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-2013
<b>NEW YORK WESTERN</b>		
NYW 6 07-6144	Norma J. Bonilla, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.	07-2014
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA</b>		
SC 4 07-705	Craig Suggs v. Merck & Co., Inc.	07-2015